Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,065	MIZUNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LI-WU CHANG	2129
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>LI-WU CHANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>JAMES STEIN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 May 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	the examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/L. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2129	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed limitations of claim 6 in view of IDS (05/14/2010) and reference (not on record) Raftery et al. ("Using Bayesian Model Averaging to Calibrate Forecast Ensembles", Monthly Weather Review 133 (2005), pp 1-32.) Reference Raftery discloses Bayesian model selection with multiple models. However, Raftery does not teach one model is influenced by a result of the probabilistic reasoning associated with another Bayesian network mode and updated with recommendations. In addition, reference Friedman et al. ("Sequential Update of Bayesian Network Structure", http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/~nirf/Papers/FrG4.pdf, pp 1-10), sent via e-mail communication, teaches generating or updating a new Bayesian model based on the existing model. The model generation differs from the model identification in that models identified are among the stored (so, known) Bayesian models.